endy.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/701,486	YATOMI, TAKEHIRO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas	1648
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Zachariah Lucas.	(3)	
(2) MaryAnne Armstrong.	(4)	,
Date of Interview: 12 January 2004	Time:	·
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
None		
Claims discussed: 1, 4, 10-13		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	e record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
3		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that, while the subject matter of the elected inventions (claims 1, 5-7, and 10-13)appear to be allowable, further examination would be required of claim 4. The Applicant indicated that they would be willing to cancel this claim from the application.

The Examiner also indicated that certain amendments were required to claims 1, and 10-13.

With respect to claim 1, the comma between the phrases "supreses apoptosis" and "in myelin sheath cells" would be

It was agreed that the changes would be made by Examiner's Amentment.